

The Russian Revolution--

"The overthrow of the Czarist system therefore, brought with it the inevitable overthrow of the established Orthodox Church. To the Vatican, which had waged war against the Orthodox Church since the eleventh century, the downfall of her millenarian rival was too good to be true.

The evil of Bolshevism could in this manner be accepted in view of its having destroyed the Orthodox Church - with one proviso, however, that it should give the Catholic Church a free hand to finish the task of eliminating Orthodoxy in Russia once and for all.

The deal was accepted, and so it came to pass that while the Vatican was fulminating against Bolshevism, the Bolsheviks in the Kremlin and the Vatican's diplomats in Rome began secret negotiations. Lenin agreed with the Pope. Machineries were set up. Papal Commissions, some headed by American prelates, were despatched [sic] to Bolshevik Russia, disguised as famine relief missions and the like.

In Rome and elsewhere, Catholic priests were given accelerated instruction in Russian Orthodox theology and ritual. Grandiose schemes were blueprinted for taking over the Orthodox Church, lock, stock and barrel, including the claims for her former wealth and lands; these to be put forward at a later stage, once Catholicism had taken over."

Sydney Hunter goes on to say, "The reason the deal fell through can be attributed to the cleverness of Lenin, who eventually saw through the real motives of the Vatican."¹

¹ Manhattan, Avro, *The Vatican Billions*, Chick Publications, P.O. Box 3500 Ontario, CA 91761 Copyright © 1983, pp. 124, 125

Hunter's Words Confirmed by Others

In his book, *The Two Babylons*, Alexander Hislop says of Rome, "Let every Christian henceforth and for ever [sic] treat it as an outcast from the pale of Christianity. Instead of speaking of it as a Christian Church, let it be recognised [sic] and regarded as the Mystery of Iniquity, yea, as the very Synagogue of Satan."

Hislop continues, "Grant that the bread the Papacy presents to its votaries can be proved to have been originally made of the finest of the wheat; but what then, if every particle of that bread is combined with prussic acid or strychnine? Can the excellence of the bread overcome the virus of the poison? Can there be anything but death, spiritual and eternal death, to those who continue to feed upon the poisoned food that it offers?"²

Sir George Sinclair Ulbster keenly observed this counterfeit church to be as follows, "Romanism is a refined system of Christianised [sic] heathenism, and chiefly differs from its prototype in being *more* treacherous, *more* cruel, *more* dangerous, *more* intolerant."³

Finally, in Hislop's comparison of Rome to Hinduism's idolatry, the author makes the following comments, "If men begin to see that it is a dangerous thing for professing Christians to uphold the Pagan idolatry of India, they must be blind indeed if they do not equally see that it must be as dangerous to uphold the Pagan idolatry of Rome. Wherein does the Paganism of Rome differ from that of Hindooism? [sic] Only in this, that

² Hislop, Dr. Alexander, *The Two Babylons or The Papal Worship Proved To Be The Worship Of Nimrod And His Wife (Mother)*, original copyright ©1853. Re-published by Chick Publications, P.O. Box Ontario, CA 91761-1019, p. 285

³ *ibid*, p. 282

the Roman Paganism is the more complete, more finished, more dangerous, more insidious Paganism of the two.⁴

⁴ *ibid*, pp. 284, 285